

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MIDLAND-ODESSA DIVISION**

REDSTONE LOGICS LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

MEDIATEK, INC. and MEDIATEK USA,
INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 7:24-cv-00029-DC-DTG

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION STATEMENT

Pursuant to the Court’s First Amended Scheduling Order (Dkt. 28), the Parties submit this Joint Claim Construction Statement. The claim terms identified below as agreed upon or being in dispute are found in U.S. Patent No. 8,549,339 (Dkt. 1-1).

LIST OF DISPUTED TERMS

	Claim Term	Claims	Redstone’s Proposed Construction	MediaTek’s Proposed Construction
1.	“each processor core from the first/second set of processor cores is configured to dynamically receive a first/second supply voltage [from a power control block] and a first/second output clock signal” [MediaTek Term]	1, 21	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite
2.	“one or more control blocks located in a periphery of the multi-core processor” [MediaTek Term]	5	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite

	Claim Term	Claims	Redstone's Proposed Construction	MediaTek's Proposed Construction
3.	"common region that is substantially central to the first set of processor cores and the second set of processor cores" [MediaTek Term]	14	Plain and ordinary meaning	Indefinite

LIST OF AGREED UPON TERMS

	Claim Term	Claims	Agreed Upon Construction
4.	"set of processor cores," [Redstone Term]	1, 5, 8, 14, 21	"group of two or more processor cores" ¹

¹ Plaintiff Redstone proposed this term for construction and proposed that it mean "set of two or more processor cores," which is circular. Furthermore, MediaTek proposed that this term be afforded its plain and ordinary meaning, but agreed to the construction herein solely as a compromise to streamline the litigation, especially as MediaTek understands that it was agreed to in related litigation, *Redstone Logics LLC v. NXP Semiconductors N.V. et al.*, No. 7:24-cv-00028-DC-DTG (W.D. Tex.). MediaTek's compromise on this term is without prejudice to its right to argue otherwise in another proceeding, such as on appeal or in IPR. Plaintiff does not endorse this reservation of rights.

Dated: February 10, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Reza Mirzaie

By: /s/ Brock S. Weber

Reza Mirzaie, SBN 246953
Email: rmirzaie@raklaw.com
Marc A. Fenster, SBN 181067
Email: mfenster@raklaw.com
Neil A. Rubin, SBN 250761
Email: nrubin@raklaw.com
Christian W. Conkle, SBN 306374
Email: cconkle@raklaw.com
Jonathan Ma, SBN 312773
Email: jma@raklaw.com
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
12424 Wilshire Boulevard, 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025
Telephone: (310) 826-7474
Facsimile: (310) 826-6991

Christopher Kao (*admitted*)
christopher.kao@pillsburylaw.com
Brock S. Weber (*admitted*)
brock.weber@pillsburylaw.com
PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
4 Embarcadero Center, 22nd Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: 415.983.1000
Facsimile: 415.983.1200

Counsel for Defendants
MediaTek USA Inc. and MediaTek Inc.

Qi (Peter) Tong
Texas State Bar No. 24119042
Email: ptong@raklaw.com
RUSS AUGUST & KABAT
8080 N. Central Expy., Suite 1503
Dallas, Texas 75206
Telephone: (310) 826-7474
Facsimile: (310) 826-6991

Counsel For Plaintiff Redstone Logistics LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service are being served this February 10, 2025 with a copy of this document via the Court's CM/ECF System.

/s/ *Reza Mirzaie*

Reza Mirzaie